

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/520,346	01/05/2005	Hubert Verelst	081276-1040-00	5483
23409 MICHAEL BE	7590 06/23/200 EST & FRIEDRICH LL	EXAMINER		
100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			GRAHAM, GARY K	
Suite 3300 MILWAUKEI	S. WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/520,346	VERELST ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Gary K. Graham	3723			

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•						
	Gary K. Graham	3723	<u>. </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	iaress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILUNG D. Extension of time may be available ander the president of 37 CFR 11. after 1807, (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the momentum of the properties. If NO period for reply is a specified above, the maximum statutory period of a fault or poly within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three moments after the mailing carried patter term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin viil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	- h h					
Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	•	o in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20050105.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 2, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "the locking noses".

In claims 3 and 10, lines 2 and 1 respectively, it appears duplicative to again set forth "a component (32)", when such has already been set forth in claim 1.

In claim 4, line 2, there is no antecedent basis for "the longitudinal edges".

In claim 5, line 3, there is no antecedent basis for "the locking noses".

In claim 9, line 8, there is no antecedent basis for "the positioning shoulders".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-4, 7-10, 12-14 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Egner-Walter et al (German patent 3709810) in view of Wright (EP 0316114). The patent to Egner-Walter discloses the invention substantially as is claimed, including a wiper lever (figs.1.2) having a driven wiper arm (12) supporting a wiper blade (1). The wiper blade includes a band-like, elastic support element (10) supporting a rubber wiper strip (19,21). A component (31) is provided on an upper surface of the support element and includes a shaft (32) to which an adapter (33) is coupled to provide an articulated connection between the arm (12) and blade (1). The component acts as a part of the supporting element and includes limit stops (25) thereon pointing in opposite directions. A coupling piece at the end of the wiper arm (12) is coupled to the adapter in what appears as a conventional releasable manner via the adapter. An elastic, plastic cap (36) covers the articulated connection and is locked to the supporting element of the wiper blade via bead (37) and the component (31). Note that the cap accommodates the entire adapter (33). The beads (37) appear as locking noses that form counter limit stops, at least as far as defined. Note that the limit stops and counter limit stops are not required to interact in any particular manner nor are they required to perform any particular function. Thus, the various identified structure of Egner-Walter is considered to meet the "stop" limitation.

The patent to Egner-Walter discloses all of the above recited subject matter with the exception of the supporting element being curved along its length and deflector strip sections arranged on both sides of the component.

The patent to Wright discloses a wiper lever (figs.26,29) comprised of a wiper arm (33) supporting a wiper blade (10). Wright discloses curving the support element (14,31) of the wiper blade (10) along the longitudinal length. Wright also suggests that the supporting element be shaped with wind deflector strip sections (96,97) on both sides of the component (15).

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to curve the supporting element of Egner-Walter, as clearly suggested by Wright, to ensure proper windshield contact by the wiper strip.

With respect to claim 4, the component (31) of Egner-Walter is considered as part of the support element and will sit on the convex side of the support element as modified. Limit stops (25) are embodied on the component.

With respect to claims 8 and 17, it also would have been obvious to one of skill in the art to provide wind deflector strip sections on the supporting element, on both sides of the component, as suggested by Wright, to prevent undesired wiper lift during operation.

With respect to claim 9, note locking means (37) on the cap define fitting shoulders that cooperate with positioning shoulders (35) on the blade.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 5, 6, 11, 15 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary K. Graham whose telephone number is 571-272-1274. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday (6:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Gary K Graham/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3723

GKG

18 June 2008